

On the relation of some combinatorial functions to representation theory

N. V. Tsilevich* A. M. Vershik†

Abstract

The paper is devoted to the study of some well-known combinatorial functions on the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n — the major index maj , the descent number des , and the inversion number inv — from the representation-theoretic point of view. We show that each of these functions generates in the group algebra the same ideal, and the restriction of the left regular representation to this ideal is isomorphic to the representation of \mathfrak{S}_n in the space of $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrices. This allows us to obtain formulas for the functions maj , des , inv in terms of matrices of an exceptionally simple form. These formulas are applied to find the spectra of the elements under study in the regular representation, as well as to deduce a series of identities relating these functions to one another and to the number of fixed points fix .

Keywords: major index, descent number, inversion number, representations of the symmetric group, skew-symmetric matrices, dual complexity.

1 Introduction

In the representation theory of noncommutative locally compact groups, one of the oldest ideas, which generalizes the idea of Fourier transform, is that

*St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute of Mathematics and St. Petersburg State University. E-mail: natalia@pdmi.ras.ru. Supported by the RFBR grant 14-01-00373.

†St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute of Mathematics, St. Petersburg State University, and Institute for Information Transmission Problems. E-mail: vershik@pdmi.ras.ru. Supported by the RSF grant 14-50-00150.

the dual object to every element of the group algebra is the operator-valued function defined on the space of equivalence classes of irreducible representations whose value at a given class is the type (up to equivalence) of the unitary operator corresponding to the given element in representations of this class.

Strange as it may seem, this idea, which has been fruitfully employed in the representation theory of Lie groups, has, to the authors' knowledge, little popularity in the representation theory of finite groups and, in particular, of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . In the elaboration of this idea, we can suggest the following definition.

Definition 1. The *dual complexity* of an element a of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is the dimension of the cyclic subspace (ideal) $\text{Ide}(a) = \mathbb{C}[G]a$ generated by all left translations of this element.

For example, the dual complexity of every group element (regarded as a δ -function) coincides with the order of the group, i.e., is the maximum possible. What can be said of elements of the group algebra with small dual complexity? Is not there some dependence, or rather inverse dependence, between the size of the support of an element and its dual complexity, as in the classical theory of Fourier transform? Such questions for the symmetric group directly relate combinatorics to representation theory, and seem not to have been studied. Our paper should be regarded as the first steps in this direction.

The following example was essentially observed (in a quite different connection and in other terms) in [1]. Consider the distance from a permutation $g \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ to the identity of \mathfrak{S}_n in the word metric with respect to the Coxeter generators, or, in other words, the inversion number $\text{inv}(g)$. The duality of the corresponding element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ is equal to $n(n-1)/2 + 1$, and the representation in the ideal $\text{Ide}(\text{inv})$ is isomorphic to the sum of the identity representation and the representation in the space of $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrices.

We were interested in more complicated statistics¹ on the symmetric group, such as the major index $\text{maj}(g)$ and the number of descents $\text{des}(g)$, see, e. g., [13]. The study of these statistics goes back to MacMahon [9], and by now there is an extensive literature devoted to different problems of enumerative combinatorics involving these functions, which play an important

¹According to a tradition which originates in physics, functions on the symmetric group are often called statistics of permutations.

role in the combinatorics of permutations (let us mention, for instance, the papers [3, 4, 12, 5, 7, 8, 10]). Note also the recent appearance (in a slightly different version, for Young tableaux) of the major index as a key element of a construction establishing a relation between representations of the infinite symmetric group and the affine algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$, see [14]. It was an additional motivation for investigating not purely combinatorial, but representation-theoretic properties of the statistics under consideration, which up to now have not been given attention.

The attempt to consider the complexity of these elements in the sense of Definition 1 led to unexpected results: not only the complexity turned out to be also equal to a humble value of $n(n-1)/2 + 1$, but it appeared that the corresponding ideals $\text{Ide}(\text{maj})$ and $\text{Ide}(\text{des})$ coincide with $\text{Ide}(\text{inv})$ and thus can also be realized (up to the subspace of constants) in the space of skew-symmetric matrices.

The elaboration of this idea allowed us to obtain for the functions maj , des , inv , originally defined by nontrivial combinatorial conditions, the surprisingly simple formulas (7)–(9) in terms of matrices of a very simple form. The usefulness of these formulas is illustrated by the fact that they allow one to easily find the spectra of the elements under consideration in the regular representation (Theorems 4 and 5), as well as to obtain a whole series of new simple identities relating these functions to each other and to another important function — the number of fixed points fix (Corollaries 2, 4).

In Section 2 we briefly recall the definitions and basic properties of the statistics under study. The main results are presented in Section 3: we explicitly construct and study in detail the isomorphism between the representations of the group \mathfrak{S}_n in the ideal $\text{Ide}(\text{maj}) = \text{Ide}(\text{des}) = \text{Ide}(\text{inv})$ and in the space of skew-symmetric matrices, and obtain formulas for these statistics as simple matrix elements. In Section 4 these formulas are applied to obtain corollaries: to find the spectra of the functions under study in the regular representation and to derive a series of identities involving them. Finally, in Section 5 we briefly describe the relation of our results to investigations of the so-called Solomon descent algebra.

2 The combinatorial functions maj , des , inv

By \mathfrak{S}_n we denote the symmetric group of order n , and by π_λ , the irreducible representation of \mathfrak{S}_n corresponding to a Young diagram λ .

We consider the following functions of permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ (see, e.g., [13]): the *descent number*

$$\text{des}(\sigma) = \# \text{Des}(\sigma), \quad \text{Des}(\sigma) = \{i = 1, \dots, n-1 : \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)\},$$

the *major index*

$$\text{maj}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in \text{Des}(\sigma)} i,$$

the *inversion number*

$$\text{inv}(\sigma) = \#\{i < j : \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\},$$

and the *number of fixed points*

$$\text{fix}(\sigma) = \#\{i = 1, \dots, n : \sigma(i) = i\}.$$

The statistics maj and inv are *MacMahonian*, i.e., their generating functions coincide and equal

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} = (1+q)(1+q+q^2) \dots (1+q+\dots+q^{n-1});$$

the statistic des is *Eulerian*, i.e., its generating function is given by the Euler polynomials:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{des}(\sigma)} = A_n(q), \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n(q) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{(1-q)e^z}{e^{qz} - qe^z}.$$

It is not difficult to deduce that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{maj}(\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{inv}(\sigma) = n! \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{4}, \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{des}(\sigma) = n! \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}. \quad (1)$$

For each of the statistics $\varepsilon = \text{maj}, \text{des}, \text{inv}$, we denote by u_ε the corresponding element of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$:

$$u_\varepsilon = \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \varepsilon(g)g \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n].$$

We will also need the *centered* (i.e., orthogonal to the constants) versions of the statistics under consideration, for which $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \widetilde{\varepsilon}(\sigma) = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\text{des}}(\sigma) &= \text{des}(\sigma) - \frac{n-1}{2}, & \widetilde{\text{maj}}(\sigma) &= \text{maj}(\sigma) - \frac{n(n-1)}{4}, \\ \widetilde{\text{inv}}(\sigma) &= \text{inv}(\sigma) - \frac{n(n-1)}{4},\end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding elements $u_{\widetilde{\varepsilon}} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \widetilde{\varepsilon}(\sigma) \sigma$ of the group algebra.

MacMahon studied four fundamental statistics of permutations: maj, des, inv, and also the *excedance number*

$$\text{exc}(\sigma) = \#\{i = 1, \dots, n-1 : \sigma(i) > i\}.$$

This statistic is also Eulerian, i.e., has the same distribution as des. Thus, the four functions maj, inv and des, exc form two pairs of equally distributed statistics. However, in contrast to maj, des, inv, the function exc generates another ideal, which coincides with the primary component of the natural representation (plus the subspace of constants). Hence its dual complexity is equal to $(n-1)^2 + 1$. It is not difficult to see that the same ideal is generated also by the function fix.

Note also that sometimes, instead of the major index, one uses the so-called *comajor index* comaj, where $\text{comaj}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in \text{Des}(\sigma)} (n-i)$. Since, obviously, $\text{comaj}(\sigma) = n \text{des}(\sigma) - \text{maj}(\sigma)$, all the results obtained below for des and maj can easily be extended to comaj.

3 Realization of the combinatorial functions maj, des, inv in the space of skew-symmetric matrices

Let \mathcal{M} be the space of $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrices. There is a natural action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n in \mathcal{M} by simultaneous permutations of rows and columns. It is well known that this representation ϱ of \mathfrak{S}_n decomposes into the sum of two irreducible representations $\pi_{(n-1,1)}$ (the natural representation) and $\pi_{(n-1,1^2)}$, which will be denoted by π_1 and π_2 , respectively. Also, denote by P_1 and P_2 the orthogonal projections in \mathcal{M} to the spaces of π_1 and π_2 . We equip \mathcal{M} with the inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(AB) = \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} b_{ij}, \quad A = (a_{ij}), \quad B = (b_{ij}). \quad (2)$$

The representation ϱ is, obviously, unitary.

For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, denote by E_{ij} the skew-symmetric matrix with 1 in the cell (i, j) and -1 in the cell (j, i) , all other entries being zero. Obviously, the matrices $\{E_{ij}\}$ form an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{M} with respect to the inner product (2). By abuse of language, we will call them the *matrix units*.

Consider (also for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$) the following elements of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$:

$$e_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum \varepsilon_{ij}(\sigma) \sigma, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon_{ij}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma(i) < \sigma(j), \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma(i) > \sigma(j). \end{cases}$$

Denote by \mathcal{H} the subspace in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ spanned by these elements. Let Reg_l be the left regular representation of the group \mathfrak{S}_n in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$.

Lemma 1. *The subspace \mathcal{H} is invariant under Reg_l , and the corresponding subrepresentation is isomorphic to the representation ϱ of \mathfrak{S}_n in the space of skew-symmetric matrices \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. Define an operator $\widehat{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by the formula $\widehat{T}e_{ij} = E_{ij}$. It is easy to check that it determines a (nonunitary!) isomorphism of the representations under study. \square

In view of Lemma 1, we call the elements e_{ij} the *pseudomatrix units* in \mathcal{H} . It is also convenient to put $e_{ij} = -e_{ji}$ for $i > j$ and $e_{ii} = 0$.

The pseudomatrix units are, obviously, not orthogonal with respect to the standard inner product in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$. Namely, it is not difficult to obtain the following relations: for $i < j$, $k < l$,

$$\langle e_{ij}, e_{kl} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & i = k, j = l, \\ \frac{1}{3}, & i = k, j \neq l \text{ or } j = l, i \neq k, \\ -\frac{1}{3}, & i = l \text{ or } j = k, \\ 0, & \{k, l\} \cap \{i, j\} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Denote by C_1 and C_2 the normalized central Young symmetrizers (central idempotents in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$) corresponding to the Young diagrams $(n-1, 1)$ and $(n-2, 1^2)$, respectively.

Lemma 2.

$$C_1 e_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (e_{ik} + e_{kj}). \quad (3)$$

Proof. For $k \neq l$, denote by c_{kl} the Young symmetrizer corresponding to a Young tableau of the form $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline k & & & \\ \hline l & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ (the order of the other elements in the first row is irrelevant). It is not difficult to see that

$$C_1 = \frac{n-1}{n \cdot n!} \sum_{k \neq l} c_{kl}.$$

Now one can deduce from the properties of the pseudomatrix units e_{ij} that $c_{kl}e_{ij} = 0$ for $\{k, l\} \cap \{i, j\} = \emptyset$ and

$$\sum_{k \neq i} c_{ki}e_{ij} = n \cdot (n-2)! \sum_{k=1}^n e_{ik}, \quad \sum_{k \neq j} c_{kj}e_{ij} = n \cdot (n-2)! \sum_{k=1}^n e_{kj},$$

which implies the desired formula. \square

Since the representation of \mathfrak{S}_n in the space \mathcal{M} is the sum of two irreducible representations, a unitary isomorphism between the representations in \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{M} can differ from the intertwining operator \hat{T} only in that the projections of the elements e_{ij} to each of the two irreducible components are multiplied by different coefficients, and these coefficients can be found from the condition that the images of the pseudomatrix units should be orthonormal. Namely, consider in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ the operator

$$A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{n+1}}(C_1 + \sqrt{n+1}C_2)$$

and put

$$e'_{ij} = Ae_{ij}.$$

Theorem 1. *The operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ defined by the formula*

$$Te'_{ij} = E_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

is a unitary isomorphism of the representations of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n in the spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{M} .

Proof. It is obvious from above that T is an intertwining operator. It remains to show that it is unitary, i.e., that the system of elements $\{e'_{ij}\}$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$

is orthonormal. Using Lemma 3, it is not difficult to calculate that for two different pseudomatrix units e_{ij} and e_{kl} we have

$$\langle C_1 e_{ij}, C_1 e_{kl} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \{k, l\} \cap \{i, j\} = \emptyset, \\ \frac{n+1}{3n}, & i = k, j \neq l \text{ or } j = l, i \neq k, \\ -\frac{n+1}{3n}, & i = l, j \neq k \text{ or } j = k, i \neq l. \end{cases}$$

Since $\langle C_2 e_{ij}, C_2 e_{kl} \rangle = \langle e_{ij}, e_{kl} \rangle - \langle C_1 e_{ij}, C_1 e_{kl} \rangle$, we obtain that

$$\langle C_2 e_{ij}, C_2 e_{kl} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \{k, l\} \cap \{i, j\} = \emptyset, \\ -\frac{1}{3n}, & i = k, j \neq l \text{ or } j = l, i \neq k, \\ \frac{1}{3n}, & i = l, j \neq k \text{ or } j = k, i \neq l, \end{cases}$$

and the fact that the system $\{e'_{ij}\}$ is orthonormal follows by a direct calculation. \square

Theorem 2. *The centered vectors $u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}}$, $u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}}$, $u_{\widetilde{\text{inv}}}$ lie in the space \mathcal{H} ; namely,*

$$u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}} = -\frac{\sqrt{n!}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e_{k,k+1}, \quad u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}} = -\frac{\sqrt{n!}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k e_{k,k+1}, \quad u_{\widetilde{\text{inv}}} = -\frac{\sqrt{n!}}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} e_{ij}.$$

Proof. Follows by a straightforward calculation. \square

Corollary 1. *Each of the vectors u_ε , where $\varepsilon = \text{des}, \text{maj}, \text{inv}$, generates in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ the same principal right ideal $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \{\text{const}\}$. The restriction of the left regular representation Reg_l to \mathcal{I} is the sum of three irreducible representations $\pi_{(n)} \oplus \pi_{(n-1,1)} \oplus \pi_{(n-2,1^2)}$.*

Consider in \mathcal{M} the matrices

$$h_{\text{des}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E_{k,k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_{\text{maj}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k E_{k,k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & 0 & 2 & \dots & 0 \\ & & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & n-1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$h_{\text{inv}} = \sum_{i < j} E_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(when writing matrices, we always indicate only their upper triangular parts, meaning that the lower triangular parts can be recovered from the skew symmetry). Denote $c_n = -\frac{\sqrt{n!}}{2}$. It follows from Theorems 2 and 1 that

$$\hat{T}u_{\varepsilon} = c_n h_{\varepsilon}, \quad Tu_{\varepsilon} = \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{3}}(\sqrt{n+1}P_1 h_{\varepsilon} + P_2 h_{\varepsilon}). \quad (4)$$

Lemma 3. *For $k < m$, the projection of the matrix unit E_{km} to the natural representation is given by the formula*

$$P_1 E_{km} = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n, \text{ where } a_{ij} = \alpha_i^{(km)} - \alpha_j^{(km)} \text{ and } \alpha_j^{(km)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & j = k, \\ -\frac{1}{n}, & j = m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is well known that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 \mathcal{M} &= \{M = (a_{ij}), \text{ where } a_{ij} = \alpha_i - \alpha_j \text{ and } (\alpha_j) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \sum \alpha_j = 0\}, \\ P_2 \mathcal{M} &= \{M = (a_{ij}) : a_{ji} = -a_{ij}, \sum_i a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for every } j\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Using this fact, it is not difficult to find the desired projection. \square

Lemma 4.

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 h_{\text{des}} &= \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 2 \\ & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \dots & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 h_{\text{des}} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n-1 & -1 & -1 & \dots & -1 & -2 \\ & 0 & n & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ & & 0 & n & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ & & & & \dots & & \\ & & & & 0 & n & -1 \\ & & & & & 0 & n-1 \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ P_1 h_{\text{maj}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 h_{\text{maj}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ & 0 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ & & 0 & 3 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ & & & & \dots & & \\ & & & & 0 & n-2 & -1 \\ & & & & & 0 & n-2 \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned}$$

$$P_1 h_{\text{inv}} = A, \quad P_2 h_{\text{inv}} = B,$$

where $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ are Toeplitz matrices with the entries

$$a_{i,i+k} = \frac{2k}{n}, \quad b_{i,i+k} = 1 - \frac{2k}{n}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Easily follows from Lemma 3 or directly from (5). \square

Denote by P the orthogonal projection in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ to the subspace \mathcal{H} , and let $h_0 = TP\delta_e$.

Lemma 5.

$$h_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{(n+1)!}} \left(A + \sqrt{n+1} \cdot B \right),$$

where the Toeplitz matrices A and B are given by (6).

Proof. Since $\{e'_{km}\}$ is an orthonormal system in \mathcal{H} , we can write $P\delta_e = \sum_{km} \langle \delta_e, e'_{km} \rangle e'_{km}$. Using the above results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e'_{km} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{n+1}} \hat{T}^{-1} (P_1 E_{km} + \sqrt{n+1} P_2 E_{km}) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{n+1}} \left(\sum_{i < j} (\alpha_i^{km} - \alpha_j^{km}) E_{ij} + \sqrt{n+1} \sum_{i < j} (\delta_{km,ij} - \alpha_i^{km} + \alpha_j^{km}) E_{ij} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{n+1}} \left(\sqrt{n+1} e_{km} - \sum_{i < j} (\sqrt{n+1} - 1) (\alpha_i^{km} - \alpha_j^{km}) e_{ij} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to observe that $\langle \delta_e, e_{ij} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}$ for $i < j$, and the desired result follows by straightforward calculations. \square

Now we are in a position to prove our key theorem on the representation-theoretic meaning of the combinatorial statistics maj , des , inv .

Theorem 3. For every element $f = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} c_\sigma \sigma \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$c_\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \langle \varrho(\sigma) h_{\text{inv}}, \hat{T} f \rangle.$$

In particular, for every permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the major index $\text{maj}(\sigma)$, the descent number $\text{des}(\sigma)$, and the inversion number $\text{inv}(\sigma)$ can be calculated by the following “matrix” formulas:

$$\text{maj}(\sigma) = \frac{n(n-1)}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \varrho(\sigma) h_{\text{inv}}, h_{\text{maj}} \rangle, \quad (7)$$

$$\text{des}(\sigma) = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \varrho(\sigma) h_{\text{inv}}, h_{\text{des}} \rangle, \quad (8)$$

$$\text{inv}(\sigma) = \frac{n(n-1)}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \varrho(\sigma) h_{\text{inv}}, h_{\text{inv}} \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where ϱ is the representation of the group \mathfrak{S}_n in the space \mathcal{M} of $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrices.

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} c_\sigma \sigma \in \mathcal{H}$ be an arbitrary element from \mathcal{H} . For every permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have $c_\sigma = \langle \delta_\sigma, f \rangle = \langle \text{Reg}_l(\sigma) \delta_e, f \rangle$. Since P is the orthogonal projection to the invariant subspace \mathcal{H} , we have $\langle \text{Reg}_l(\sigma) \delta_e, f \rangle = \langle \text{Reg}_l(\sigma) P \delta_e, f \rangle$. Acting on both sides by the unitary isomorphism T , we find

$$\begin{aligned} c_\sigma &= \langle T \text{Reg}_l(\sigma) P \delta_e, T f \rangle = \langle \varrho(\sigma) T P \delta_e, T f \rangle = \langle \varrho(\sigma) h_0, T f \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{(n+1)!}} \left(\langle \varrho(\sigma) A, P_1 T f \rangle + \sqrt{n+1} \langle \varrho(\sigma) B, P_2 T f \rangle \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the orthogonality of the spaces of different representations. Note that $P_1 T f = \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{3}} P_1 \hat{T} f$, $P_2 T f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} P_2 \hat{T} f$, whence

$$c_\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \left(\langle \varrho(\sigma) A, P_1 \hat{T} f \rangle + \langle \varrho(\sigma) B, P_2 \hat{T} f \rangle \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \langle \varrho(\sigma) h_{\text{inv}}, \hat{T} f \rangle,$$

again by the orthogonality and since $h_{\text{inv}} = A + B$. The remaining part of the theorem follows from (4). \square

4 Corollaries: spectra and convolutions

In this section we show how the “matrix” formulas for combinatorial functions found in Theorem 3 allow one to easily obtain results on the spectra of elements (in the regular representation), convolutions, etc.

Denote by M_ε , where $\varepsilon = \text{maj}, \text{inv}, \text{des}$, the operator of right multiplication by u_ε in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$. Since the operators of left and right multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ commute, every eigenspace of M_ε is an invariant subspace for the left regular representation Reg_l .

Theorem 4. *Each of the operators $M_{\text{maj}}, M_{\text{des}}$ has two nonzero eigenvalues: $s_0^\varepsilon > 0$ and $s_1^\varepsilon < 0$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} s_0^{\text{maj}} &= n! \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{4}, & s_1^{\text{maj}} &= -\frac{n!}{2}; \\ s_0^{\text{des}} &= n! \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}, & s_1^{\text{des}} &= -(n-1)!. \end{aligned}$$

The correspondent eigenspaces for both operators coincide. The subspace corresponding to s_0^ε is one-dimensional and coincides with the subspace of constants, i.e., with the subspace of the identity subrepresentation $\pi_{(n)}$ in Reg_l . The subspace corresponding to s_1^ε has dimension $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ and coincides with the subspace \mathcal{H} introduced above (in particular, the representation in it is isomorphic to the sum of two irreducible representations $\pi_{(n-1,1)} + \pi_{(n-2,1^2)}$).

Proof. Obviously, the constant element $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} g$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ is an eigenvector for M_ε with the eigenvalue $s_0^\varepsilon = \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \varepsilon(g)$, which can be found from (1). Hence in what follows we may consider the operators $M_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$ of multiplication by the centered (orthogonal to the constants) vectors $u_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$, and we must prove that each of these operators has a single nonzero eigenvalue equal to s_1^ε and the corresponding eigenspace coincides with \mathcal{H} .

The fact that \mathcal{H} is an invariant subspace for $M_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$ outside of which the operator vanishes immediately follows from Theorem 2. Each of the irreducible subspaces $H_1 = C_1\mathcal{H}$ and $H_2 = C_2\mathcal{H}$ is also invariant. Let $f = \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} f(\sigma)\sigma \in H_k \subset \mathcal{H}$, where $k = 1, 2$. By Theorem 3, we have $f(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \langle \varrho(\sigma)h_{\text{inv}}, \hat{T}f \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}f)(\sigma) &= \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} f(g)\tilde{\varepsilon}(g^{-1}\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \langle \varrho(g)h_{\text{inv}}, \hat{T}f \rangle \langle \varrho(g^{-1}\sigma)h_{\text{inv}}, h_\varepsilon \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \langle \varrho(g)h_{\text{inv}}, \hat{T}f \rangle \langle \varrho(g)h_\varepsilon, \varrho(\sigma)h_{\text{inv}} \rangle \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{n!}}{2 \dim \pi_k} \langle P_k h_{\text{inv}}, P_k h_\varepsilon \rangle \langle \hat{T}f, \varrho(\sigma)h_{\text{inv}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

by the orthogonality relations for matrix elements. But the latter expression is equal to $-\frac{n!}{2 \dim \pi_k} \langle P_k h_{\text{inv}}, P_k h_\varepsilon \rangle f(\sigma)$, which implies that f is an eigenvector of $M_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$ with the eigenvalue

$$-\frac{n!}{2 \dim \pi_k} \langle P_k h_{\text{inv}}, P_k h_\varepsilon \rangle. \quad (10)$$

It remains to observe that $\dim \pi_1 = n-1$, $\dim \pi_2 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ and to calculate the inner products of matrices using Lemma 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_1 h_{\text{inv}}, P_1 h_{\text{des}} \rangle &= \frac{2(n-1)}{n}, & \langle P_2 h_{\text{inv}}, P_2 h_{\text{des}} \rangle &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n}, \\ \langle P_1 h_{\text{inv}}, P_1 h_{\text{maj}} \rangle &= n-1, & \langle P_2 h_{\text{inv}}, P_2 h_{\text{maj}} \rangle &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that in the subspaces H_1 and H_2 the eigenvalues coincide and are equal to the desired value. \square

Corollary 2. *In the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$, the following identities hold for convolutions of the combinatorial functions under consideration:*

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}} * u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}} &= -(n-1)! \cdot u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}}, & u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}} * u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}} &= -\frac{n!}{2} \cdot u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}}, \\ u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}} * u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}} &= -(n-1)! \cdot u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}}, & u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}} * u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}} &= -\frac{n!}{2} \cdot u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}}, \\ u_{\widetilde{\text{inv}}} * u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}} &= -(n-1)! \cdot u_{\widetilde{\text{inv}}}, & u_{\widetilde{\text{inv}}} * u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}} &= -\frac{n!}{2} \cdot u_{\widetilde{\text{inv}}}, \end{aligned}$$

or, explicitly,

$$\sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \widetilde{\text{maj}}(g) \widetilde{\text{des}}(g^{-1}\sigma) = -(n-1)! \cdot \widetilde{\text{maj}}(\sigma),$$

and similarly for all the other convolutions. In particular, $u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}}$ and $u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}}$ are, up to normalization, idempotents in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$.

Proof. By Theorem 4, each of the vectors $u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}}, u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}}, u_{\widetilde{\text{inv}}}$ lies in an eigenspace of the operators of right multiplication by $u_{\widetilde{\text{des}}}, u_{\widetilde{\text{maj}}}$ with known eigenvalues, which implies the desired identities. \square

Theorem 5. *The operator M_{inv} has three nonzero eigenvalues: $s_0^{\text{inv}} > 0$ and $s_1^{\text{inv}}, s_2^{\text{inv}} < 0$, where*

$$s_0^{\text{inv}} = n! \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{4}, \quad s_1^{\text{inv}} = -\frac{(n+1)!}{6}, \quad s_2^{\text{inv}} = -\frac{n!}{6}.$$

The subspace corresponding to s_0^{inv} is one-dimensional and coincides with the subspace of constants. The subspace corresponding to s_1^{inv} has dimension $n-1$ and coincides with $H_1 = C_1\mathcal{H}$. The subspace corresponding to s_2^{inv} has dimension $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ and coincides with $H_2 = C_2\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. The proof is entirely similar to that of Theorem 4, one should only calculate $\|P_1 h_{\text{inv}}\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{4k^2}{n^2} (n-k) = \frac{n^2-1}{3}$ and $\|P_2 h_{\text{inv}}\|^2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{n^2-1}{3} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ and substitute these values into (10). \square

Corollary 3. *The function inv on the group \mathfrak{S}_n is conditionally nonpositive definite, i.e., for every collection of complex numbers $(x_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n}$,*

$$\sum_{g,h \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{inv}(g^{-1}h) x_g \bar{x}_h \leq 0 \text{ provided that } \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x_\sigma = 0. \quad (11)$$

Observe that inequality (11) holds also for maj and des , however, these functions, in contrast to inv , are not symmetric on \mathfrak{S}_n (i.e., do not satisfy the relation $f(\sigma^{-1}) = \overline{f(\sigma)}$), hence they are not conditionally nonpositive definite according to the classical definition.

The developed techniques allow one to obtain also other identities. Consider, for example, the character χ_{nat} of the natural representation $\pi_{(n-1,1)}$ of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . It is well known that $\chi_{\text{nat}}(g) = \text{fix}(g) - 1$.

Corollary 4.

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \varepsilon(g) (\text{fix}(g) - 1) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}, & \varepsilon = \text{maj}, \\ -\frac{1}{n}, & \varepsilon = \text{des}, \\ -\frac{n+1}{6}, & \varepsilon = \text{inv}. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Proof. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ be an orthonormal basis in the space $P_1\mathcal{M}$ of the natural representation. Then for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ we have $\chi_{\text{nat}}(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle \varrho(\sigma)f_i, f_i \rangle$. Thus the left-hand side in (12) is equal (again by the orthogonality relations for matrix elements) to

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \langle \varrho(\sigma)f_i, f_i \rangle \langle \varrho(\sigma)h_{\text{inv}}, h_\varepsilon \rangle = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \langle P_1 h_{\text{inv}}, P_1 h_\varepsilon \rangle,$$

and (12) follows from the formulas for the inner products obtained in the proofs of Theorems 4 and 5. \square

Note that identity (12) for the major index can also be obtained from known results on the joint distribution of the statistics maj and fix (see [8]), but this requires heavy analytic calculations, whereas the matrix formulas give the answer immediately and simultaneously for all three statistics maj , des , inv .

5 The Solomon algebra

Originally, we proved Theorem 4 using the techniques developed in [6] in connection with the study of the so-called Solomon descent algebra [11]. However, it turned out that the approach presented in Section 3 and based on the observations from Theorems 1 and 2 is much simpler, more efficient and allows one to obtain more results. Nevertheless, we believe that the link to the study in [6] is important and worth further investigation, so we will briefly describe it in this section.

Denote by $\text{Comp}(n)$ the set of compositions of a positive integer n . For $p = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \text{Comp}(n)$, put

$$B_p = \sum_{\sigma: \text{Des}(\sigma) \subset \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}\}} \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n].$$

In particular, $B_{(1^n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma$, and for $p_k = (1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$ (where 2 is in the k th position) $B_{p_k} = \sum_{\sigma: k \notin \text{Des}(\sigma)} \sigma$.

The elements $\{B_p\}_{p \in \text{Comp}(n)}$ generate a subalgebra Σ_n of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ called the *Solomon descent algebra*. In the paper [6], devoted to the study of the structure and representations of this algebra, another important basis $\{I_p\}_{p \in \text{Comp}(n)}$ of Σ_n was introduced, and the transition matrix between the two bases was obtained. In particular, $B_{(1^n)} = I_{(1^n)}$ and $B_{p_k} = I_{p_k} + \frac{1}{2}I_{(1^n)}$.

Let $a = \sum_q a_q I_q \in \Sigma_n$, and let M_a be the operator of right multiplication by a in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$. In [6, Theorem 4.4], it is (implicitly) proved that the eigenvalues s_λ of M_a are indexed by the partitions λ of n and $s_\lambda = b_\lambda \sum_{p: \lambda(p)=\lambda} a_p$, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue s_λ being equal to $\frac{n!}{z_\lambda}$.

Then our results on the spectra of the elements $u_{\text{des}}, u_{\text{maj}}$ follow from the following simple observation.

Lemma 6.

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\text{des}} &= (n-1)B_{(1^n)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_{p_k} = \frac{(n-1)}{2}I_{(1^n)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} I_{p_k}, \\ u_{\text{maj}} &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2}B_{(1^n)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k B_{p_k} = \frac{n(n-1)}{4}I_{(1^n)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k I_{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

The description of the eigenspaces can also be deduced from the results of [6] (see also [2, Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3]). However, our approach

makes it possible to obtain Theorem 4 much easier. Note also that the vector u_{inv} does not lie in the Solomon algebra, hence Theorem 5 cannot be obtained by the method described in this section.

References

- [1] M. Bozejko and R. Szwarc, Algebraic length and Poincaré series on reflection groups with applications to representation theory, in: *Asymptotic Combinatorics with Applications to Mathematical Physics*, A. M. Vershik (ed.), *Lecture Notes Math.* **1815** (2003), pp. 201–221.
- [2] A. R. Calderbank, P. Hanlon, and S. Sundaram, Representations of the symmetric group in deformations of the free Lie algebra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **341**, No. 1 (1994), 315–333.
- [3] L. Carlitz, q -Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1954), 332–350.
- [4] D. Foata, On the Netto inversion number of a sequence, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (1968), 236–240.
- [5] D. Foata and M. Schützenberger, Major index and inversion number of permutations, *Math. Nachr.* **83** (1978), 143–159.
- [6] A. Garsia and C. Reutenauer, A decomposition of Solomon’s descent algebra, *Adv. Math.* **77** (1989), 189–262.
- [7] A. Garsia and I. Gessel, Permutation statistics and partitions, *Adv. Math.* **31** (1979), 288–305.
- [8] I. Gessel and C. Reutenauer, Counting permutations with given cycle structure and descent set, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **64** (1993), 189–215.
- [9] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1915–1916. Reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1960.
- [10] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs, Eulerian quasisymmetric functions, *Adv. Math.* **225** (2010), 2921–2966.

- [11] L. Solomon, A Mackey formula in the group ring of a Coxeter group, *J. Algebra* **41** (1976), 255–264.
- [12] R. Stanley, Binomial posets, Möbius inversion, and permutation enumeration, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **20** (1976) 336–356.
- [13] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 1986.
- [14] N. V. Tsilevich and A. M. Vershik, The serpentine representation of the infinite symmetric group and the basic representation of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **105**, No. 1, 11–25 (2015).